NAL SEARCH REPORT

ational application No. PCT/JP03/10957

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl ⁷ C07K5/027, C12P21/02, A61K38/00, A61P35/00			
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC			
B. FIELDS SEARCHED			
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl ⁷ C07K5/027, C12P21/02, A61K38/00, A61P35/00			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CA/REGISTRY/BIOSIS/WPIDS/MEDLINE (STN)			
	www.ye.	en e	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	JP 2001-348340 A (Yamanouch: Ltd.),		1-5
	18 December, 2001 (18.12.01) Claims; examples (Family: none)	,	·
Α .	WO 00/42062 Al (Yamanouchi I Ltd.), 20 July, 2000 (20.07.00),	Pharmaceutical Co.,	1-5
	Claims; examples	2001101443 A	1
•			
		en e	
			Navi Company
\$ 195 to	So the strategy of the equations of $\hat{\lambda}_{ij}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{ij}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{ij}$	of the same statements	t de la companya de l
Furthe	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	;
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the and document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November, 2003 (20.11.03) "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the and document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November, 2003 (20.11.03)			ne application but cited to crlying the invention cannot be red to involve an inventive claimed invention cannot be to when the document is documents, such a skilled in the art family
		4.5.	
Japa	nese Patent Office	Authorized officer	
Facsimile No. Telephone No.			
Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998) BEST AVAILABLE COPY			

in ional application No. PCT/JP03/10957

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. X Claims Nos.: 6 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claim 6 pertains to method for treatment of the human body or animal by therapy and thus relate to a subject matter which this International Searching Authority is not required, under the provisions of Article 17(2)(a)(i) of the PCT and Rule 39.1(iv) of the Regulations under the PCT, to search. 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fce, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. \tag{No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.